Calculations covered the five bulk drugs only. In view, however, of receipt of complaints that (he liabilities had not been properly assessed it was decided, last year, to review the Special Team's finding:, and t'ae developments thereafter. As a result of that review a tentative liability of Rs. 77. SI crores (Rs. 24. 91 crores fo. - the period upto 31-12-83 and Rs. 52. 90 crores for 1-1-84 onwards) has since been assessed against M|s. Hoechst and communicate. ' to the company. The company, however, filed Writ Petition in the Bombay High Court. The High Court *vide* it's order dated 8th March, 1991. has allowed M|s. Hoechst to withdraw the petilion and framed a time bound programme under which the government would hear the company and pass a speaking order.

**Production of Ampicillin Sodium**

740. SHRi G. G. SWELL:
CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question No. 210 given in the Rajya Sabha on 25th February, 1991 and state:

(a) what was trie production of Ampicillin Sodium in organised sectors during the last two years. yearwise;

(b) what are the basis on which his Ministry recommended restriction on import of this drug;

*(c)* whefner it is a fact that there are a mimbe,. of drugs which are being produced by three *to* five units in adequate Quantities in the country and for which hisMinistry received requests to ban/restrict imports;

d whefher it is also a fact that no action has been taken thereon so far; and

(e) what are the details of such requests and whatr the justification for recorecom mending restriction imnort of ampricifilm - llin sodium on single producer and Inadequate production?

THE MINISTER Op STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN); (a) Production of Ampicillin Sodium in the organised sector during the last 2 years is as follows: —

| 1989-90 (MT) | 1990-91 (MT) | April, 1991 to June, 1991 (MT) |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | 19·5 | 9·5 |

*(b)* Import of Ampicillin Sodium was recommended to be restricted, in cosul-tation with DGTD, to encourage indigenous production, as well as to check the outgo of foreign exchange.

(c) to (e) Representations received from the manufacturers of Theophylline for restricting its import have been examined and final decision will be taken by Ministry of Commerce.

In the case of Ampicillin Sodium, there is more than one producer in the country and sanctioned capacity is more than adequate to cope with the indigenous demand.

**State-wise per capita income fignre**

741. SHRI AJTT P. K. JOGI:
SHRT RADHAKISHAN MALAVIYA:

Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) what is the per capita income in the country as on date comparative figures for fast two years State-wise;

(b) steps taken to enhance it; and

(c) which States have low and which have high per capita income and also which are the States where the per canita income has increased in the recent past?

THE MTNTSTFR OF STATE (TNDB-PFT>FNT CHARGE) OF THE MINTS-TRY OF PT ANNTNG AND PROGRAMME IMPTEMENTATION (SHRT H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) The State-wise

per capita income (net State domestic protect) since 1986-87, at current prices, is ndicated in the attached Statement.

(b) The nation has been implementing ?ive Year Plans with developmental pro grammes aimed at increasing the per ; aipta income in the country. The plans include investment/outlays for the deve lopment of infrastructure, industry, agri culture, irrigation, education and health, etc. There are also programmes specifi cally for employment generation and alleviation of poverty.

(c) In 1989-90, the latest year for which the information on per capita income (net state domestic product) is available, the States of Goa, Gujarat, Haryana, Maharashtra, Punjab, Sikkim and Pondicherry had higher per capita income (NSDP) than the average for the *country.* The States of Andhra Pradesh, Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Bihar, Himachal Pradesh, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Marupur, Meghalaya, Oris: a, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradeih and West Bengal had lower per capita income. Information for the year is not available in respect of Jammu and Kashmir, Mizoram, Nagaland, Tripura and the Union Territory of Delhi. Per capita income has shown an increase in all th States for which information is availabk for the recent past.

Statement

*Per Capita Net State Domestic Product at Current Prices* (1986-87) *to* (1989-90)

| S. No. | State/U.T. | 1986–87 | 1987–88 | 1988–89 (P) | 1989–90 (Q) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | Andhra Pradesh | 2394 | 2839 | 3211 | 3364 |
| 2 | Arunachal Pradesh | 3579 | 3979 | 4236 | 4176 |
| 3 | Assam | 2420 | 2589 | 2772 | 3178 |
| 4 | Bihar | 1727 | 1814 | 2091 | 2303 |
| 5 | Goa | 5702 | 6209 | 6619 | 6939 |
| 6 | Gujarat | 3525 | 3509 | 4893 | 5404 |
| 7 | Haryana | 3950 | 4312 | 5537 | 6265 |
| 8 | Himachal Pradesh | 2848 | 3122 | 3622 | 4005 |
| 9 | Jammu & Kashmir* | 2344 | .. | .. | .. |
| 10 | Karnataka | 2900 | 3254 | 3787 | 4075 |
| 11 | Kerala | 2603 | 2828 | 3076 | 3389 |
| 12 | Madhya Pradesh* | 1973 | 2433 | 2772 | 2878 |
| 13 | Maharashtra | 3997 | 4558 | 5363 | 6184 |
| 14 | Manipur | 2611 | 3008 | 3480 | 3502 |
| 15 | Meghalaya | 2437 | 2893 | 3112 | 3251 |
| 16 | Mizoram | 3165 | 4077 | .. | .. |
| 17 | Nagaland | 3034 | 3361 | 3464 | .. |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 18 | Orissa | 2128 | 2194 | 2793 | 3066 |
| 19 | Punjab | 4862 | 5572 | 6274 | 7081 |
| 20 | Rajasthan | 2095 | 2363 | 3327 | 3595 |
| 21 | Sikkim | 3472 | 3886 | 4254 | 4396 |
| 22 | Tamil Nadu | 2851 | 3362 | 3677 | 3894 |
| 23 | Tripura | 2177 | .. | .. | .. |
| 24 | Uttar Pradesh | 2201 | 2382 | 2744 | 3072 |
| 25 | West Bengal | 2803 | 3046 | 3542 | 3963 |
| 26 | Delhi | 7037 | 7788 | .. | .. |
| 27 | Pondicherry | 4909 | 5622 | 5734 | 5637 |
| | All India | 2954 | 3286 | 3875 | 4252 |

Quick Estimates P: Provisional

Nat mid; available by th- concerned State Governments.

Bis; d on old (1970-71) series

SOURCE: Di rectorates of Economics & Statistics of respective States for State estimates ana CSO for All India per capita NNP.

NOTE!; Owing to differences in source material used the figures for different States/ UTs are noi strictly comparable.

NOTE 2: Tr-UTs of Andaman &Nicobar Islands Chancigarh, Dadra&NagarHaveli, Daman Diu and Lakshadweep do not prepare these estimates.

742. *[Transferred to 30th July,* 1991]

**Requirement of Tinidazole and Metronidazole medicines**

743. DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1318 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 7th January, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Tinidazole and Metronidazole both medicines are re quired by the people living in hilly and far flung areas for treating water borne diseases;

(b) what is the bulk drug and Maximum Allow nble Part-manufacturing Expenses (MAPE) taken for Fasigyn tablet by the company;

(c) on what ground the drug %as been price decontrolled;

(d) whether it is a fact that his Ministry have received a number of complaints against decontrol of the drug; and

(e) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The products in question are anti-amoebic formulations and are use! throughout the country.

(b) The requisite information is not readily available with the Government.